

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/936,432 | Applicant(s) TRESCH ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Fiona T. Powers | Art Unit 1626 | |

All Participants:

 (1) Fiona T. Powers.

 (2) Harris Pitlick.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 21 November 2003
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

9

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Pitlick to ask if claim 9 could be rewritten as follows: A method of dyeing or printing natural or synthetic substrates by applying thereto one or more azoxy dyes and/or their copper complexes of claim 1. It was agreed that claim 9 would be amended by examiner's amendment so that it reads as above..